

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Book 1450 Alexandry, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,207	01/29/2004		Walter Schwarzenbach	4717-11600	3337	
28765	7590	09/20/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
WINSTON 1700 K STR			duong, khanh b			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2822		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HiA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/766,207	SCHWARZENBACH	HETAL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khanh B. Duong	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence add	ress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 January 2004.						
	This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-17</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		į				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>							
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/04. 	/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,207

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the filing of the application on January 29, 2004. Accordingly, claims 1-17 are pending.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in France on August 4, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the French application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, line 22, "that" should be --than--; and page 8, line 25, "in homogeneity" should be combined as a single word --inhomogeneity--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the steps as recited in Claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

Art Unit: 2822

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 11, 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohmi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,597,039).

Re claim 1, Ohmi et al. ("Ohmi") discloses in 6A-6C a method of detaching a layer 37 from a wafer 1, which comprises: creating an weakened zone ("separation area") 3 in a wafer 1 to define the layer 37 to be detached and a remainder portion 38 of the wafer 1, such that the weakened zone 3 includes a main region 31 and a localized super-weakened region 32 that is more weakened than the main region 31; and initiating detachment of the layer 37 from the remainder portion 38 at the super-weakened region 32 such that the detachment propagates from

Application/Control Number: 10/766,207

Art Unit: 2822

the super-weakened region 32 through the main region 31 to detach the layer 37 from the remainder portion 38 [see col. 10, lines 18-38].

Re claim 2, Ohmi expressly discloses in FIG. 6A the detachment is initiated by applying a detachment force 111 to the weakened zone 3 that is sufficient to initiate detachment at the super-weakened region 32 but not at the main region 31.

Re claim 3, Ohmi expressly discloses in FIG. 6A the detachment force 111 is applied to both the super-weakened region 32 and the main region 31.

Re claim 4, Ohmi expressly discloses in FIG. 6A the detachment force is obtained by applying energy to the weakened zone 3 that is sufficient to initiate detachment at the superweakened region 32 but not at the main region 31.

Re claims 5-8, Ohmi discloses the energy is applied by a thermal treatment (heating/annealing) or an external force at least to the weakened zone 3 of the wafer 1 [see col. 10, lines 21-26]. In addition, Ohmi expressly discloses in FIGs. 6A-6B detaching the entire layer 38 from the remainder portion 37 by applying a thermal treatment (heating) to the weakened zone 3 [see col. 10, lines 21-26]. Thus, in order for the entire layer 38 to be detached from the remainder portion 37 of the weakened zone 3, it must be inherent that the thermal treatment was being applied substantially evenly over substantially the entire weakened zone 3.

Re claim 11, Ohmi discloses in FIG. 1C the weakened zone 3 is created by implanting a dose of atomic species in the wafer [see col. 10, lines 4-11].

Re claim 12, Ohmi discloses the super-weakened region 32 is created by implanting an overdose of the atomic species compared to the dose of atomic species implanted in the main region 31 [see col. 10, lines 13-17].

Art Unit: 2822

Re claim 14, Ohmi discloses an initial dose of atomic species is applied to the weakened zone, and the overdose is applied to the super-weakened region after the application of the initial dose [see col. 10, lines 4-11].

Re claim 15, Ohmi discloses the weakened zone 3 is created by producing a porous layer in the wafer 1 [see col. 6, lines 24-35].

Re claim 16, Ohmi expressly discloses in FIGs. 1A-1C the weakened zone 3 extends through a crystalline layer of the wafer 1.

Re claim 17, Ohmi discloses the wafer 1 comprises a semiconductor material [see col. 6, lines 37-39].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmi in view of Aspar et al. (U.S. 2003/0234075 A1).

Re claim 13, Ohmi fails to disclose the atomic species is applied in substantially a single operation to both the super-weakened and main regions.

Aspar et al. ("Aspar") suggests in FIG. 1C the atomic species is applied in substantially a single operation to both the super-weakened region 36 and main region 12. [see page 3, paragraph [0054]].

Since Ohmi and Aspar are from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Aspar would have been recognized in the pertinent prior art of Ohmi.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method disclosed by Ohmi as suggested by Aspar because of the desirability to minimize process steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2822

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following U.S. Patents discloses relevant teachings regarding semiconductor processes utilizing hydrogen ion delamination: Hanson '764, Aga '718 and Yoo '376.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1836. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBD

AMIR ZARABIAN

SUPERVISORY FOTE OF EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000